



## **Assessment Scorecard**

S/N	Criteria	Sub-criteria	Details	Weight (100%)	Reviewer's comments	Reviewer's Score (%)
1.	Proposal	Scientific merit and feasibility	Reflect on the proposed rationale, approach and methodology. Reflect on the scientific, ethical logistics and technical feasibility as proposed	50%		
2.	Track record of the joint applicants	Past research	Reflect on past contributions to knowledge production (e.g. journal articles, book chapters, designs, performances, etc.) of the team.	10%		
3.	Gender and Mentorship	Of the Team	Gender and Mentorship	10%		
	Balance	Of the students supervised	Gender	5%		
4.		Impact on knowledge production	Will the proposed work significantly advance discovery and understanding in the field?	10%		
	Impacts	Wider impact	Has the possibility for economic, societal or environmental impact been appropriately embedded in the proposal?  Is it clear how such impact will be measured?	5%		
5.	Data management and use	Plans for digital data storage, usage &/or dissemination	A data management plan (DMP) is a formal document that describes the data you expect to acquire or generate during the course of a research project, how you will manage, describe, analyze, and store those data, and what mechanisms (including digital data storage) will be used at the end of your project to share and preserve your data	10%		
Total Score (%)				100%		

**NB:** Ethical considerations and clearances for grant proposals are the responsibility of the applicant. Where such ethical considerations and clearances are required, grant applicants will be expected to submit to the UR-DRI signed statements and/or copies of clearance certificates before any grant funds are released.